White paper

Benefits of 50° Wide Angle Breast Tomosynthesis

Bibliography with key clinical and scientific findings

siemens-healthineers.com/mammography



Summary

Following its market introduction in 2009, several clinical studies on Digital Breast Tomosynthesis* have proceeded to demonstrate the value of this technique for breast imaging. The following is a summation of key findings from studies conducted with 50° wide-angle tomosynthesis.

Glossary

DBT

FΡ

AUC Area Under the (ROC) Curve NAC Neoadjuvant chemotherapy

BIRADS Breast Imaging Reporting and Data NPV Negative Predictive Value

System PMA Premarket Approval

CNR Contrast-to-noise ratio **PMMA** Polymethylmethacrylate (phantom)

PPV Positive Predictive Value

EMPIRE Enhanced Multiple Parameter ROC Receiver Operating Characteristic **Iterative Reconstruction**

US Ultrasound FBP

FFDM Full Field Digital Mammography

Insight 2D Synthetic mammogram

Insight 3D Rotating synthetic mammogram

False Positives

Insight Breast Volumetric Breast Density

Density Assessment

JAFROC Jackknife Alternative Free-response

Receiver Operating Characteristics

Digital Breast Tomosynthesis

Filtered backprojection

LL Latero-lateral

MGD Mean Glandular Dose

MRMC Multi Reader Multi Center (study)

MTF Modulation transfer function

^{*} Some studies contain technologies that are not commercially available. Due to regulatory reasons its future availability cannot be guaranteed.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Johnson et al. "Interval Breast Cancer Rates and Tumor Characteristics" ¹	2021	The interval cancer rate in the prospective population-based Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial with one-view DBT and two-view FFDM was lower than that in a contemporary two-view FFDM screening control group (1.6 per 1000 screened women vs 2.8 per 1000 screened women).
Whelehan et al. "Digital breast tomosynthesis: sensitivity for cancer in younger symptomatic women" 2	2021	FFDM and DBT in combination provided a small but statistically significant improvement in sensitivity for cancer in younger symptomatic patients. The greatest improvements in sensitivity, over FFDM alone, were seen with the combined modality in the densest breasts.
Georgian-Smith et al. "Can Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Replace Full-Field Digital Mammography? A Multireader, Multicase Study of Wide-Angle Tomosynthesis" ³	2019	Wide-angle two-view DBT alone has greater diagnostic accuracy than FFDM for most radiologists, even for those inexperienced with DBT technology.
Clauser et al. "Synthetic 2-Dimensional Mammography Can Replace Digital Mammography as an Adjunct to Wide-Angle Digital Breast Tomosynthesis" ⁴	2019	Wide-angle DBT, either combined with FFDM or synthetic mammograms, increases sensitivity and diagnostic accuracy without reducing specificity compared with FFDM alone. No differences were seen between synthetic mammograms or FFDM in DBT-combined readings, so synthetic mammograms should replace FFDM for combined readings with wide-angle DBT.
Heywang-Köbrunner et al. "Use of singleview digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) and ultrasound vs. additional views and ultrasound for the assessment of screendetected abnormalities: German multireader study" 5	2018	This study compared screening mammography, single-view DBT and ultrasound-information (combination 1) vs. screening mammography, additional views and ultrasound-information (combination 2) for assessment of screen-detected soft-tissue abnormalities. Combination 1 readings had slightly higher sensitivity than combination 2 readings (96.9% vs. 95.4%) but lower specificity (50% vs. 58.1%).
Zackrisson et al. "One-view breast tomosynthesis versus two-view mammography in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial (MBTST)" ⁶	2018	In this prospective population-based screening trial, an increase in the cancer detection rate of 34% was achieved with one-view DBT only. At the same time, the breast compression force was lowered by 40% and the radiation dose reduced by 15%. It is the only prospective screening trial that has proven higher diagnostic accuracy with DBT at a lower radiation dose compared to the current screening standard.
Rodriguez-Ruiz et al. "One-view digital breast tomosynthesis as a stand-alone modality for breast cancer detection: do we need more?" 7	2018	Detection performance with one-view DBT is not inferior to two-view FFDM or to two-view FFDM plus two-view DBT. Training may lead to one-view DBT being sufficient for screening.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Scaduto et al. "Impact of angular range of digital breast tomosynthesis on mass detection in dense breasts" ⁸	2018	The simulation results show for DBT an increased in-plane detectability of masses with increasing angular range. This is confirmed by clinical results showing that masses are more conspicuous in wideangle DBT than narrow-angle DBT. The detection of mass lesions in dense breasts can be improved by increasing the DBT angular range.
Neubauer et al. "Multiple Angulated Mammography Reconstructions in Digital Breast Tomosynthesis for the Diagnosis of Microcalcifications - Added Value to Standard Stack Reconstructions and Synthesized Mammography" 9	2018	The depiction of microcalcifications is better in the slices with 50° wide-angle DBT compared to synthetic mammograms, but reading time is increased. Synthetic mammograms allow for faster reading times, with Insight 3D having a better depiction of calcification clusters compared to Insight 2D, at equal reading time, diagnostic accuracy and inter-reader agreement.
Heywang-Köbrunner et al "Value of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis versus Additional Views for the Assessment of Screen- Detected Abnormalities – a First Analysis" 10	2017	DBT appeared to be at least equivalent to additional mammography views for assessing indeterminate screen-detected lesions and could replace the additional mammography views for most lesions.
Rodriguez-Ruiz et al. "New reconstruction algorithm for digital breast tomosynthesis: better image quality for humans and computers" 11	2017	Image reconstruction with EMPIRE provides better contrast and image quality, fewer artifacts, and improved visibility of calcifications than standard reconstruction, as well as improved detection performance with deep-learning algorithms.
Galati et al. "Added value of one-view digital breast tomosynthesis combined with digital mammography according to readers concordance: changing in BIRADS rate and follow-up management" 12	2017	The addition of 1-view DBT to 2-view FFDM reduced the inter-reader variability for BIRADS classification and recall rate. DBT+FFDM also increased the number of BIRADS 1-2 and BIRADS 4-5, while reducing the number of cases with BIRADS 0 and 3 (uncertain cases).
Amer et al. "Digital breast tomosynthesis versus full-field digital mammography – Which modality provides more accurate prediction of margin status in specimen radiography?" 13	2017	DBT significantly improves the accuracy of specimen radiography regarding identification of the closest margin and sensitivity regarding margin status assessment compared to FFDM. This could reduce re-excision and re-operation rates.
Maldera et al. "Digital breast tomosynthesis: Dose and image quality assessment" ¹⁴	2017	In-depth resolution improved with increasing scan angle but was also affected by the choice of reconstruction and post-processing algorithms. The highest z-resolution was provided by Siemens Healthineers.
Rodriguez-Ruiz et al. "Evaluation of the technical performance of three different commercial digital breast tomosynthesis systems in the clinical environment" 15	2016	DBT systems with a wider angular range yield a higher depth resolution.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Siemens Medical Solutions USA Inc. "MRMC study to demonstrate the superior accuracy of Siemens Healthineers DBT to FFDM as a replacement for FFDM screening mammography" 16	2016	The average AUC ROC was significantly higher with DBT as a stand- alone modality and the readers' average non-cancer recall rate was significantly lower. DBT also resulted in a lower inter-observer variability compared to FFDM.
Clauser et al. "Diagnostic performance of digital breast tomosynthesis with a wide scan angle compared to full-field digital mammography for the detection and characterization of microcalcifications" 17	2016	50° wide-angle DBT enables similar detection and characterization performance for microcalcifications as with FFDM.
Whelehan et al. "Clinical performance of Siemens Healthineers digital breast tomo- synthesis versus standard supplementary mammography for the assessment of screen-detected softtissue abnormalities: a multi-reader study" 18	2016	50° wide-angle DBT demonstrates equivalent diagnostic accuracy according to ROC curve analysis when used in place of supplementary mammographic views in screen-detected soft-tissue mammographic abnormalities.
Lång et al. "False positives in breast cancer screening with one-view breast tomosynthesis: An analysis of findings leading to recall, work-up and biopsy rates in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial" 19	2016	FPs increased with DBT screening mainly due to the recall of stellate distortions. The FP recall rate was still well within the European guidelines and showed evidence of a learning curve. The characterization of rounded lesions was improved with DBT.
Uchiyama et al. "Diagnostic Usefulness of Synthetic MG (SMMG) with DBT (Digital Breast Tomosynthesis) for Clinical Setting in Breast Cancer Screening" 20	2016	Insight 2D plus DBT demonstrated higher AUC and superior diagnostic accuracy with regards to sensitivity, specificity, and NPV compared to Insight 2D and FFDM alone (p < 0.05). Also the 40% decrease of radiation dose allows for two-view Insight 2D plus DBT in breast cancer screening instead of FFDM.
Elizalde et al. "Additional US or DBT after digital mammography: which one is the best combination?" ²¹	2016	The combination of FFDM and additional US, DBT, or both, significantly increased the diagnostic performance (AUC) of FFDM.
Pozzi et al. "Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in Addition to Conventional 2D-Mammography Reduces Recall Rates and is Cost-Effective" 22	2016	Adding DBT to FFDM results in a significant reduction in recall rates preventing unnecessary burden on women and the healthcare system. Earlier, less costly treatment strategies can be applied.
Van Ongeval "The role of the Synthetic Mammogram" ²³	2016	Diagnostic performances of Insight 2D and FFDM are comparable for detecting T1 stage breast cancers. There is an improvement of specificity of Insight2D+DBT compared to FFDM alone.
Timberg et al. "Breast Density Assessment Using Breast Tomosynthesis Images" ²⁴	2016	Insight Breast Density is a promising approach using low dose central projection DBT images in order to obtain radiologist-like density ratings similar to results obtained from FFDM.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Scaduto et al. "Digital breast tomosynthesis with minimal breast compression" ²⁵	2015	Image acquisition is optimized for reduced compression in DBT without compromising image quality or increasing MGD. Measurements on phantoms and patients suggest comparable lesion conspicuity for DBT with no appreciable difference in patient motion due to minimal compression.
Lång et al. "Performance of one-view breast tomosynthesis as a stand-alone breast cancer screening modality: results from the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial, a population-based study" ²⁶	2015	Interim results: The breast cancer detection rate improved by 43% and breast cancer screening with one-view DBT as a stand-alone modality seems feasible. Breast compression can be reduced by 50%. (The final results of the study have been published in 2018, see ref. 3)
Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc. "PMA (P140011) study with MAMMOMAT Inspiration with Tomosynthesis Option" ²⁷	2015	FFDM + 2-view DBT is superior in terms of diagnostic accuracy (AUC) to FFDM alone. Readers' sensitivity increased with the addition of 2-view DBT to FFDM. Non-cancer recall rate was reduced by 19% for FFDM plus 2-view DBT as compared to FFDM alone.
Mercier et al. "The role of tomosynthesis in breast cancer staging in 75 patients" 28	2015	Tomosynthesis found more lesions than mammography in 10% of patients, resulting in an adaptation of the surgical planning.
Urano et al. "Digital mammography versus digital breast tomosynthesis for detection of breast cancer in the intraoperative specimen during breast-conserving surgery" ²⁹	2015	DBT can detect breast cancer more accurately than FFDM in LL views, indicating its potential to more precisely diagnose vertical invasion.
Baptista et al. "Dosimetric characterization and organ dose assessment in digital breast tomosynthesis: Measurements and Monte Carlo simulations using voxel phantoms" 30	2015	Taking into account an average breast with a thickness of 4.5 cm, the MGDs for FFDM and DBT acquisitions were below the achievable value (2.0 mGy) defined by the European protocol.
Timberg et al. "Detection of calcification clusters in digital breast tomosynthesis slices at different dose levels utilizing a SRSAR reconstruction and JAFROC" 31	2015	Compared to standard FBP, the detection performance for calcification clusters is increased with EMPIRE. Alternatively, for the same detection performance as standard FBP the dose level can be reduced by 50% with EMPIRE.
Abdurahman et al. "Optimizing High Resolution Reconstruction in Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Using Filtered Back Projection" 32	2014	Image reconstruction with EMPIRE preserves micro-calcifications at high spatial resolution while maintaining noise levels acceptable for clinical interpretations. Contrast and sharpness of microcalcifications have been increased and morphology of calcification clusters are preserved. Furthermore, the CNR of soft tissue regions was improved while the details of spiculated masses such as architectural distortions were preserved.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Miglio et al. "Added value of one-view DBT combined with DM according to readers' concordance – changing in BIRADS rate and follow-up management: A preliminary study" 33	2014	The combination of two-view FFDM and one-view DBT increased the concordance between the readers for the BIRADS classification, and reduced recalls.
Uchiyama et al. "Clinical Efficacy of Novel Image Processing Techniques in the Framework of Filtered Back Projection (FBP) with Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT)" 34	2014	EMPIRE was significantly superior to the standard FBP. In particular, the diagnostic certainty in the assessment of microcalcifications was improved.
Tani et al. "Assessing Radiologist Performance and Microcalcifications Visualization Using Combined 3D Rotating Mammogram (RM) and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis" 35	2014	The visualization of microcalcifications was significantly better for all microcalcification-dominant cancer lesions with the adjunction of Insight 3D to DBT.
Dustler et al. "Image Quality of Thick Average Intensity Pixel Slabs Using Statistical Artifact Reduction in Breast Tomosynthesis" ³⁶	2014	It is possible to review DBT volumes with 2 mm slabs without compromising image quality, and the visibility of microcalcifications is improved.
Lång et al. "Breast cancer detection in digital breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography: a side-by-side review of discrepant cases" 37	2014	Lesion visualization with DBT is superior to FFDM, particularly for spiculated tumors suggesting that DBT is better than FFDM in visualizing breast cancer.
Bernathova M "Digital breast tomosynthesis – another milestone in breast imaging" 38	2014	DBT has comparable or superior image quality and a higher conspicuity of lesions. It improves the specificity and accuracy, increases the detection rate and has the potential to decrease the recall rate.
Van Ongeval et al. "Is DBT the new standard in diagnostic imaging? How to implement in specialist training?" ³⁹	2014	Compared to FFDM and ultrasound, DBT has better diagnostic accuracy in early detection for breast lesions and is more accurate in determining lesion size.
Bick et al. "Tomosynthesis and the impact on patient management" 40	2014	In screening, DBT improved cancer detection rates and reduced recalls for false-positives.
Pina et al. "Interpretation of masses, distortions and densities with Tomosynthesis" ⁴¹	2014	DBT increases the detection rate of breast cancer up to 27% and is very sensitive to spiculations and architectural distortions, resulting in a high PPV.
Zackrisson S, Houssami N "Digital breast tomosynthesis: the future of mammography screening or much ado about nothing?" 42	2013	Overview of tomosynthesis and its improvements compared to standard mammography.
Schulz-Wendtland et al. "Full Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) versus CMOS Technology, Specimen Radiography System (SRS) and Tomosynthesis (DBT) – Which System Can Optimise Surgical Therapy?" 43	2013	The MAMMOMAT Inspiration with 50° wide-angle DBT had the highest sensitivity of the three systems tested. The rate of re-excisions was reduced compared to the results of FFDM.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Dustler et al. "A Study of the Feasibility of using slabbing to reduce Tomosynthesis Review Time" 44	2013	Slabbing in screening reduces the reading time significantly.
Timberg et al. "Visibility of single spiculations in digital breast tomosynthesis" 45	2013	EMPIRE improves the visibility of spiculations and promises to be an alternative to FBP.
Slon et al. "The Role of Additional Ultrasound and Tomosynthesis After Normal Digital Mammography: Comparison Between Both Techniques" 46	2013	The study results show that DBT detected additional cancers not visible on FFDM and increased the detection rate.
Extano et al. "The additional role of tomosynthesis after normal mammography according to ACR density patterns" 47	2013	DBT is useful in ACR III-IV dense breasts as well as for scattered fibroglandular breasts (ACR II), increasing the sensitivity compared to FFDM, and detects more invasive cancers, in particular tubular cancers.
Heywang-Köbrunner et al. "Use of Tomosynthesis for the assessment of screen-detected lesions" 48	2013	Due to higher specificity, the diagnostic performance is improved if DBT replaces additional views.
Abdurahman et al. "Out-of-Plane Artifact Reduction in Tomosynthesis Based on Regression Modeling and Outlier Detection" ⁴⁹	2012	The authors propose a technique for reconstructing a set of super-resolution DBT slices and predicting the artifact-free voxel intensity based on statistical artefact reduction. The experiments show that the reconstructed images are de-blurred and streak-like artifacts are reduced. The visibility of clinical features, contrast and sharpness are improved and thick-slice reconstruction is possible without the loss of contrast and sharpness.
Marshall et al. "Measurements of system sharpness for two digital breast tomosynthesis systems" 50	2012	Wide-angle tomosynthesis has a higher depth resolution (z-plane PSF) because of the wider tomographic angle used.
Uchiyama et al. "Diagnostic Impact of Adjunction of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) to Full Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) and in Comparison with Full Field Digital Mammography (FFDM)" 51	2012	DBT+FFDM detect more cancers than FFDM alone. DBT as an adjunct to FFDM was able to detect early- stage breast cancer and it is not affected by breast density.
Dance et al. "Comparison of breast doses for digital tomosynthesis estimated from patient exposures and using PMMA breast phantoms" 52	2012	The dose for tomosynthesis with the Siemens Healthineers MAMMOMAT Inspiration system is lower than with systems of other vendors.

Author and study title	Year	Key findings
Uchiyama et al. "Usefulness of Adjunction of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) to Full-Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) in Evaluation of Pathological Response after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy (NAC) for Breast Cancer" 53	2012	The adjunction of DBT to FFDM combined with other diagnostic modalities contributes to more accurate assessment of response to NAC. The adjunction of DBT to FFDM improves the assessment of the lesion and its margins without utilizing a contrast medium.
Svahn et al. "Breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography: a comparison of diagnostic accuracy" 54	2012	The diagnostic accuracy of DBT was significantly better than that of FFDM.
Uchiyama et al. "Evaluation of correlation between pathological size and diagnostic size" 55	2012	For evaluating the extent of a lesion, FFDM plus DBT was superior compared to US or FFDM only. In addition, FFDM plus DBT showed a strong correlation with MRI.
Förnvik et al. "Breast tomosynthesis: Accuracy of tumor measurement compared with digital mammography and ultrasonography" 56	2010	The study indicates that DBT is superior to FFDM in the assessment of breast tumor size and stage.
Förnvik et al. "The effect of reduced breast compression in breast tomosynthesis: human observer study using clinical cases" 57	2010	No difference in the image quality was evident with reduced compression, indicating that DBT may be performed with substantially less compression force compared with 2D mammography. A majority of the examined women felt that half compression was more comfortable than full compression.
Zhao et al. "Experimental validation of a three-dimensional linear system model for breast tomosynthesis" 58	2009	The detection of masses with DBT can be improved by increasing the angular range, as it improves the MTF at low frequencies, resulting in better detection of large-area, low-contrast lesions.
Mertelmeier et al. "Optimization of Tomosynthesis Acquisition Parameters: Angular Range and Number of Projections" 59	2008	For DBT, a larger angular range increases the depth resolution and also improves the visibility of low-frequency objects, i.e. the detection of masses.
Zhou et al. "A computer simulation platform for the optimization of a breast tomosynthesis system" ⁶⁰	2007	The in-depth resolution of DBT can be improved by increasing the angular range, whereas pixel binning (2x2) would cause more degradation to the in-plane MTF than the blur caused by the moving focal spot and the image reconstruction.

References

All references to websites were accessed and validated on April 7, 2021.

- [1] Johnson K, Lång K, Ikeda DM, Åkesson A, Andersson I, Zackrisson S (2021) Interval Breast Cancer Rates and Tumor Characteristics in the Prospective Population-based Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. Radiology Apr 6 https://pubs.rsna.org/doi/10.1148/radiol.2021.204106
- [2] Whelehan P, Ali K, Vinnicombe S, Ball G, Cox J, Farry P et al. (2021) Digital breast tomosynthesis: sensitivity for cancer in younger symptomatic women. Br J Radiol 94(1119):20201105 https://www.birpublications.org/doi/full/10.1259/bjr.20201105
- [3] Georgian-Smith D, Obuchowski NA, Lo JY, Brem RF, Baker JA, Fisher PR et al. (2019) Can Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Replace Full-Field Digital Mammography? A Multireader, Multicase Study of Wide-Angle Tomosynthesis. AJR. American journal of roentgenology. doi:10.2214/AJR.18.20294. https://www.ajronline.org/doi/abs/10.2214/AJR.18.20294
- [4] Clauser P, Baltzer PAT, Kapetas P, Woitek R, Weber M, Leone F et al. (2019) Synthetic 2-Dimensional Mammography Can Replace Digital Mammography as an Adjunct to Wide-Angle Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. Investigative radiology 54(2):83–8. https://journals.lww.com/investigativeradiology/Abstract/2019/02000/Synthetic_2_ Dimensional_Mammography_Can_Replace.4.aspx
- [5] Heywang-Köbrunner SH, Hacker A, Jänsch A, Kates R, Wulz-Horber S; German Reader Team. (2018) Use of single-view digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) and ultrasound vs. additional views and ultrasound for the assessment of screen-detected abnormalities: German multi-reader study. Acta Radiol 59(7):782-788. https://journals.saqepub.com/doi/10.1177/10284185117732600
- 6] Zackrisson S, Lång K, Rosso A, Johnson K, Dustler M, Förnvik D et al. (2018) One-view breast tomosynthesis versus two-view mammography in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial (MBTST): A prospective, population-based, diagnostic accuracy study. The Lancet Oncology 19(11):1493-1503.
 https://www.ybc.accuracy.com/journals/lancet/stricle/BISS.14.70-2045(18)30531-7/fullbox/
- [7] Rodriguez-Ruiz A, Gubern-Merida A, Imhof-Tas M, Lardenoije S, Wanders AJT, Andersson I et al. (2018) One-view digital breast tomosynthesis as a stand-alone modality for breast cancer detection: do we need more? European radiology 28(5):1938–48. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00330-017-5167-3
- [8] Scaduto DA, Huang H, Liu C, Rinaldi K, Hebecker A, Mertelmeier T, Vogt S, Fisher P, Zhao W (2018) Impact of angular range of digital breast tomosynthesis on mass detection in dense breasts. Proc. SPIE 10718, 14th International Workshop on Breast Imaging (IWBI 2018), 107181V (6 July 2018).
 - https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/10718/107181V/ Impact-of-angular-range-of-digital-breast-tomosynthesis-on-mass/10.1117/12.2318243.
- [9] Neubauer J, Neubauer C, Wicklein J, Mertelmeier T, Windfuhr-Blum M, Langer M (2018) Multiple Angulated Mammography Reconstructions in Digital Breast Tomosynthesis for the Diagnosis of Microcalcifications - Added Value to Standard Stack Reconstructions and Synthesized Mammography. RoFo Fortschritte auf dem Gebiete der Rontgenstrahlen und der Nuklearmedizin 190(5):433–40. https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/abstract/10.1055/s-0044-100726
- [10] Heywang-Köbrunner S, Jaensch A, Hacker A, Wulz-Horber S, Mertelmeier T, Hölzel D.

 (2017) Value of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis versus Additional Views for the Assessmen
- (2017) Value of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis versus Additional Views for the Assessment of Screen-Detected Abnormalities a First Analysis. Breast Care (Basel) 12(2):92-97. https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/456649
- [11] Rodriguez-Ruiz A, Teuwen J, Vreemann S, Bouwman RW, van Engen RE, Karssemeijer N et al. (2017) New reconstruction algorithm for digital breast tomosynthesis: better image quality for humans and computers. Acta radiologica 59(9):1051–1059. https://journals.saqepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0284185117748487
- [12] Galati F, Marzocca F, Bassetti E, Luciani M, Pediconi F, Catalano C (2017) Added value of one-view digital breast tomosynthesis combined with digital mammography according to readers concordance: changing in BIRADS rate and follow-up management, Abstract B-0600, ECR 2017, Vienna.
 - https://ecronline.myesr.org/ecr2017/index.php?p=recorddetail&rid=d6319b17-bea5-4277-8a41-85276ca0a2bd#presentation-fbe4f7ee-ac7d-41fe-a81d-3e7f85f1bfe9
- [13] Amer HA, Schmitzberger F, Ingold-Heppner B, Kussmaul J, El Tohamy MF, Tantawy HI et al. (2017) Digital breast tomosynthesis versus full-field digital mammography-Which modality provides more accurate prediction of margin status in specimen radiography? European journal of radiology 93:258–64.
 - https://www.ejradiology.com/article/S0720-048X(17)30220-6/fulltext
- [14] Maldera A, Marco P de, Colombo PE, Origgi D, Torresin A (2017) Digital breast tomosynthesis: Dose and image quality assessment. Physica medica 33:56–67. https://www.physicamedica.com/article/S1120-1797(16)31104-8/fulltext
- [15] Rodriguez-Ruiz A, Castillo M, Garayoa J, Chevalier M (2016) Evaluation of the technical performance of three different commercial digital breast tomosynthesis systems in the clinical environment. Physica medica 32(6):767–77. https://www.physicamedica.com/article/51120-1799/16)30039-4/fulltext

- [16] Siemens Medical Solutions USA Inc. (2016): Clinical Study Report for Protocol SMS-SP09-01. Retrospective, multi-reader, multi-case study (MRMC) to demonstrate the superior accuracy of Siemens Digital Breast Tomosynthesis to Full-Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) as a replacement for FFDM screening mammography.
- [17] Clauser, Paola; Nagl, Georg; Helbich, Thomas H.; Pinker-Domenig, Katja; Weber, Michael; Kapetas, Panagiotis et al. (2016): Diagnostic performance of digital breast tomosynthesis with a wide scan angle compared to full-field digital mammography for the detection and characterization of microcalcifications. European journal of radiology 85 (12), S. 2161–2168. https://www.ejradiology.com/article/S0720-048X(16)30302-3/abstract
- nepsimini incjiadiology.com,articleisov.25 o lox(10)50502 siabstract
- [18] P. Whelehan; S.H. Heywang-Köbrunner; S.J. Vinnicombe; A. Hacker; A. Jänsch; A. Hapca et al. (2016): Clinical performance of Siemens digital breast tomosynthesis versus standard supplementary mammography for the assessment of screen-detected soft-tissue abnormalities: a multi-reader study. Clinical Radiology 72(1):95.e9-95.e15. https://www.clinicalradiologyonline.net/article/S0009-9260(16)30345-2/fulltext
- [19] Lång K, Nergarden M, Andersson I, Rosso A, Zackrisson S. False positives in breast cancer screening with one-view breast tomosynthesis: An analysis of findings leading to recall, work-up and biopsy rates in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. Eur Radiol. (2016) 26:3899. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00330-016-4265-y
- [20] Uchiyama N, Kikuchi M, Machida M, Arai Y, Murakami R, Otsuka K, et al. Diagnostic Usefulness of Synthetic MMG (SMMG) with DBT (Digital Breast Tomosynthesis) for Clinical Setting in Breast Cancer Screening. In: Tingberg A, Lång K, Timberg P, editors. Breast Imaging. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016. p. 59–67. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-41546-8_8
- [21] Elizalde et al. (2016), Additional US or DBT after digital mammography: which one is the best combination?; Acta Radiologica 57(1):13–18. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0284185114563641
- [22] Pozzi, Agostino; Della Corte, Angelo; Lakis, Mustapha A. El; Jeong, HeonJae (2016): Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in Addition to Conventional 2D-Mammography Reduces Recall Rates and is Cost Effective. Asian Pac. J Cancer Prev 17(7):3521-3526. http://journal.waocp.org/article_32549.html
- [23] van Ongeval, Chantal (2016): The role of the Synthetic Mammogram. ECR 2016. Vienna, 04.03.2016. https://www.healthcare.siemens.de/mammography/information-gallery/videos/the-role-of-synthetic-mammogram.html
- [24] Timberg P, Fieselmann A, Dustler M, Petersson H, Sartor H, Lång K, et al. Breast Density Assessment Using Breast Tomosynthesis Images. In: Tingberg A, Lång K, Timberg P, editors. Breast Imaging. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016. p.197–202. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/1978-3-319-41546-8
- [25] Scaduto DA, Yang M, Ripton-Snyder J, Fisher PR, Zhao W (2015) Digital breast tomosynthesis with minimal breast compression. Proc. SPIE 9412, Medical Imaging 2015: Physics of Medical Imaging, 94121Y (18 March 2015). https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/9412/94121Y/Digital-breast-tomosynthesis-with-minimal-breast-compression/10.1117/12.2081543.short
- [26] Lång et al., Performance of one-view breast tomosynthesis as a stand-alone breast cancer screening modality: results from the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial, a populationbased study; Eur Radiol (2016) 26: 184. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00330-015-3803-3
- [27] Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., MAMMOMAT Inspiration with Tomosynthesis Option, PMA P140011: FDA Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data, April 21, 2015; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf14/P140011b.pdf
- [28] Mercier J, Kwiatkowski F, Abrial C, Boussion V, Dieu-de Fraissinette V, Marraoui W, et al. The role of tomosynthesis in breast cancer staging in 75 patients. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2015; 96(1):27–35; https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211568414002010
- [29] Urano M, Shiraki N, Kawai T, Goto T, Endo Y, Yoshimoto N, et al. Digital mammography versus digital breast tomosynthesis for detection of breast cancer in the intraoperative specimen during breast-conserving surgery. Breast Cancer (2016) 23: 706. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%/F512287-015-0628-5
- [30] Baptista, Mariana; Di Maria, Salvatore; Barros, Silvia; Figueira, Catarina; Sarmento, Marta; Orvalho, Lurdes; Vaz, Pedro (2015): Dosimetric characterization and organ dose assessment in digital breast tomosynthesis: Measurements and Monte Carlo simulations using voxel phantoms. Medical physics 42 (7), S. 3788–3800. https://aapm.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1118/1.4921362
- [31] Timberg et al., Detection of calcification clusters in digital breast tomosynthesis slices at different dose levels utilizing a SRSAR reconstruction and JAFROC; Proc. SPIE 9416, Medical Imaging 2015: Image Perception, Observer Performance, and Technology Assessment, 941604 (March 17, 2015); http://proceedings.spiedigitallibrary.org/proceeding.aspx?articleid=2209258

- [32] Abdurahman S, Dennerlein F, Jerebko A, Fieselmann A, Mertelmeier T (2014) Optimizing High Resolution Reconstruction in Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Using Filtered Back Projection. In: Fujita H., Hara T., Muramatsu C. (eds) Breast Imaging. IWDM 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 8539. Springer, Cham, pp 520–527. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-07887-8 73
- [33] Miglio, Galati et al., Added value of one-view DBT combined with DM according to readers concordance – changing in BIRADS rate an follow-up management: A preliminary study. ECR 2015, Poster No. C-1904. https://posterng.netkey.at/esr/viewing/index.php?module=viewing_ poster&task=&pi=127826
- [34] Uchiyama et al., Clinical Efficacy of Novel Image Processing Techniques in the Framework of Filtered Back Projection (FBP) with Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT); Breast Imaging, 12th International, Workshop, IWDM 2014, Gifu City, Japan, June 29 – July 2, 2014 Proceedings: LNCS 8539 pp. 320–326; http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-07887-8_45
- [35] Tani et al., Assessing Radiologist Performance and Microcalcifications Visualization Using Combined 3D Rotating Mammogram (RM) and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis; Breast Imaging, 12th International, Workshop, IWDM 2014, Gifu City, Japan, June 29 – July 2, 2014 Proceedings: LNCS 8539, pp. 142–149; http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-07887-8
- [36] Dustler et al., Image Quality of Thick Average Intensity Pixel Slabs Using Statistical Artifact Reduction in Breast Tomosynthesis; Breast Imaging, 12th International Workshop, IWDM 2014, Gifu City, Japan, June 29 – July 2, 2014 Proceedings: LNCS 8539, pp. 544–549; http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-07887-8_76
- [37] Lång et al., Breast cancer detection in digital breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography: a side-by-side review of discrepant cases; Br J Radiol 2014;87:20140080; https://www.birpublications.org/doi/full/10.1259/bjr.20140080
- [38] Bernathova M, Digital breast tomosynthesis another milestone in breast imaging; Siemens Road Show 2014, Australia;
- [39] Van Ongeval et al., Is DBT the new standard in diagnostic imaging? How to implement in specialist training?; Siemens Breast Care Day at the ECR 2014, March 6 – 10, Viennal Austria; Insights Imaging (2014) 5 (Suppl 1):5371-5384.
 https://links.pripage.com/article/10.1007/c133744.014.0319.3
- [40] Bick et al., Tomosynthesis and the impact on patient management; Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Course at EUSOBI 2014, March 4 – 5, Vienna/Austria;
- [41] Pina et al., Interpretation of masses, distortions and densities with tomosynthesis; EUSOBI Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Course, March 4 - 5, Vienna/Austria
- [42] Zackrisson S, Houssami N, Digital breast tomosynthesis: the future of mammography screening or much ado about nothing?; Expert Rev Med Devices. 2013 10(5):583-585 https://www.tandfonline.com/doilfull/10.1586/17434440.2013.835555
- [43] Schulz-Wendtland et al., Full Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) versus CMOS Technology, Specimen Radiography System (SRS) and Tomosynthesis (DBT) – Which System Can Optimise Surgical Therapy?; Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd. 2013; 73(5):422-427; https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/abstract/10.1055/s-0032-1328600
- [44] Dustler et al., A Study of the Feasibility of using slabbing to reduce Tomosynthesis Review Time; Proc. SPIE 8673, Medical Imaging 2013: Image Perception, Observer Performance, and Technology Assessment, 86731L (March 28, 2013); http://proceedings.spiedigitallibrary.org/proceeding.aspx?articleid=1673854
- [45] Timberg et al., Visibility of single spiculations in digital breast tomosynthesis; Proc. SPIE 8673, Medical Imaging 2013: Image Perception, Observer Performance, and Technology Assessment, 86731B (March 28, 2013); http://proceedings.spiedigitallibrary.org/proceeding.aspx?articleid=1673844
- [46] Slon et al., The Role of Additional Ultrasound and Tomosynthesis After Normal Digital Mammography: Comparison Between Both Techniques; Presentation at the ECR 2013, March 7 – 11, Viennal/Austria; http://posterng.netkey.at/esr/viewing/index.php?module=viewing_poster&doi=10.1594/ecr2013/B-0685
- [47] Extano et al., The additional role of tomosynthesis after normal mammography according to ACR density patterns; Presentation at the ECR 2013, March 7 - 11, ViennalAustria; http://posterng.netkey.at/esr/viewing/index.php?module=viewing_poster&doi=10.1594/ ecr2013/B-0813
- [48] Heywang-Köbrunner et al., Use of Tomosynthesis for the assessment of screen-detected lesions; Screening Assessment Course at the ECR 2013, March 7 11, Vienna/Austria;
- [49] Abdurahman S, Jerebko A, Mertelmeier T, Lasser T, Navab N (2012) Out-of-Plane Artifact Reduction in Tomosynthesis Based on Regression Modeling and Outlier Detection. In: Maidment A.D.A., Bakic P.R., Gavenonis S. (eds) Breast Imaging. IWDM 2012. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 7361. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 729–736. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-31271-7_94

- [50] Marshall NW, Bosmans H (2012) Measurements of system sharpness for two digital breast tomosynthesis systems. Physics in medicine and biology 57(22):7629–50. https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0031-9155/57/22/7629
- [51] Uchiyama et al., Diagnostic Impact of Adjunction of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) to Full Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) and in Comparison with Full Field Digital Mammography (FFDM); Breast Imaging, 11th International Workshop, IWDM 2012, Philadelphia, PA, USA, July 8 11, 2012 Proceedings: LNCS 7361, pp 119-126; http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-31271-7_16
- [52] Dance et al., Comparison of breast doses for digital tomosynthesis estimated from patient exposures and using PMMA breast phantoms; Breast Imaging, 11th International Workshop, IWDM 2012, Philadelphia, PA, USA, July 8 - 11, 2012 Proceedings: LNCS 7361, pp 316-321; http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-31271-7_41
- [53] Uchiyama et al., Usefulness of Adjunction of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) to Full-Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) in Evaluation of Pathological Response after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy (NAC) for Breast Cancer; Breast Imaging, 11th International Workshop, IWDM 2012, Philadelphia, PA, USA, July 8 11, 2012 Proceedings: LNCS 7361, pp 354-361; http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-31271-7_46
- [54] Svahn et al., Breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography: a comparison of diagnostic accuracy; Br J Radiol. 2012; 85(1019):e1074-82.; https://www.birpublications.org/doi/full/10.1259/bjr/53282892
- [55] Uchiyama et al., Evaluation of correlation between pathological size and diagnostic size; ISBN: 978-953-51-0285-4, InTech, DOI: 10.5772/39188; http://www.intechopen.com/books/mammography-recent-advances/optimization-of-digital-breast-tomosynthesis-dbt-for-breast-cancer-diagnosis
- [56] Förnvik et al., Breast tomosynthesis: Accuracy of tumor measurement compared with digital mammography and ultrasonography; Acta Radiol. 2010; 51(3):240-247; https://journals.saqepub.com/doi/pdf/10.3109/02841850903524447
- [57] Förnvik et al., The effect of reduced breast compression in breast tomosynthesis: human observer study using clinical cases; Radiat Prot Dosimetry. 2010; 139(1-3):118-123; https://academic.oup.com/rpd/article-abstract/139/1-3/118/1603571
- [58] Zhao B, Zhou J, Hu Y-H, Mertelmeier T, Ludwig J, Zhao W (2009) Experimental validation of a three-dimensional linear system model for breast tomosynthesis. Medical physics 36(1):240–51. https://aapm.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1118/1.3040178
- [59] Mertelmeier T, Ludwig J, Zhao B, Zhao W (2008) Optimization of Tomosynthesis Acquisition Parameters: Angular Range and Number of Projections. In: Krupinski E.A. (eds) Digital Mammography. IWDM 2008. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 5116. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 220–227. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-540-70538-3_31
- [60] Zhou J, Zhao B, Zhao W (2007) A computer simulation platform for the optimization of a breast tomosynthesis system. Medical physics 34(3):1098–109. https://aapm.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1118/1.2558160

On account of certain regional limitations of sales rights and service availability, we cannot guarantee that all products / services / features included in this brochure are available through the Siemens Healthineers sales organization worldwide. Availability and packaging may vary by country and are subject to change without prior notice.

The information in this document contains general descriptions of the technical options available and may not always apply in individual cases.

Siemens Healthineers reserves the right to modify the design and specifications contained herein without prior notice. Please contact your local Siemens Healthineers sales representative for the most current information.

In the interest of complying with legal requirements concerning the environmental compatibility of our products (protection of natural resources and waste conservation), we may recycle certain components where legally permissible. For recycled components we use the same extensive quality assurance measures as for factory-new components.

Any technical data contained in this document may vary within defined tolerances. Original images always lose a certain amount of detail when reproduced.

Siemens Healthineers Headquarters

Siemens Healthcare GmbH

Henkestr. 127

91052 Erlangen, Germany Phone: +49 9131 84-0 siemens-healthineers.com