Ultrasound-derived fat fraction (UDFF)

Meta analysis





A meta-analysis, recently published in European Radiology¹, reviewed nine studies with a combined population of over 1,100 patients. The goal was to evaluate how well ultrasound-derived fat fraction (UDFF) performs in diagnosing hepatic steatosis, using magnetic resonance imaging proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF) as the reference standard.

MRI-PDFF is currently considered the noninvasive gold standard, but it's not always practical in routine clinical settings. So, the question was: can UDFF deliver similar diagnostic accuracy, at lower cost and greater accessibility?

Study overview

Study design















Objective: Evaluate how UDFF compares with MRI-PDFF in detecting hepatic steatosis.

Study results

Sensitivity







AUC*



Heterogeneity





UDFF shows high diagnostic accuracy compared with MRI-PDFF supporting its use as a noninvasive tool (NIT) for screening hepatic steatosis.

3 UDFF benefits



Cost-effective and more accessible than MRI



populationlevel MASLD screening



Enables early detection and routine monitoring



Enhances clinical equity across healthcare settings



UDFF is poised to become a key non-invasive tool (NIT) for steatotic liver disease care — broadly deployable and clinically reliable.

Key takeaways UDFF offers MRI-comparable

accuracy in detecting hepatic steatosis



Enables early detection and routine monitoring of MASLD



Cost-effective, noninvasive, and clinically deployable at scale



by improving access to advanced imaging



UDFF delivers the diagnostic confidence of MRI, with broader reach and affordability, and is well-positioned to become a frontline non-invasive tool for liver asessment in both routine care and large-scale public health efforts.

European Radiology. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-025-11652-8